

SENATOR CULLAN: Mr. President, members of the Legislature. I move the E & R amendments to LB 182 be adopted.

SPEAKER LUEDTKE: The motion is to adopt the E & R amendments to LB 182. All those in favor vote aye. Opposed nay. The E & R amendments to LB 182 are adopted. Senator Cullan. We have to move it over and then it has to be returned.

SENATOR CULLAN: Mr. President, members of the Legislature. I move that LB 182, as amended, be advanced to E & R for engrossment.

SPEAKER LUEDTKE: Motion to advance LB 182 to E & R for engrossment. All those in favor vote aye. Opposed nay. LB 182 is advanced to E & R for engrossment. There is a motion, I believe to return. Clerk will read the motion.

CLERK: A couple of motions, Mr. President. The first one is by Senator Frank Lewis. (Read F. Lewis amendment found on page 1861 of the Journal).

SPEAKER LUEDTKE: That motion was on the desk. The motion before the House is the return of LB 182 for the purpose of striking the enacting clause which will then have to lie over, just for your information. Senator Frank Lewis.

SENATOR F. LEWIS: I'm not quite ready to take the motion up. I've been doing some additional research. So what I plan to do at this point in time is to temporarily withdraw the motion. I'll probably try that once it gets to Final Reading. I can make that same approach.

SPEAKER LUEDTKE: The motion is withdrawn. Senator Frank Lewis withdraws the motion.

CLERK: Motion on the desk, Mr. President.

SPEAKER LUEDTKE: Read the motion.

CLERK: Read DeCamp motion found on pages 1861 and 1862 of the Journal.

SPEAKER LUEDTKE: Chair recognizes Senator DeCamp.

SENATOR DeCAMP: Mr. President. You remember we had an amendment on there that we put on, said that a dump couldn't be closer than 1500 feet to a rural, domestic water supply. Then Senator Duis got information from the town of Holdrege that, indeed, their dump was closer than that, and it would cost them a bunch of money to move it, and it would cause problems. So the Department of Environmental Control...and I've handed out to you a copy of their letter and their proposed amendment, and offer it to you exactly as that. They think, and they believe, and I guess as concerned as we all are about water we better also be thinking close to the same way that at least if you are going to have a dump you have to have some input as to how that dump would effect the local water supply in the area. For example, if you put it in an area where it's very porous and where it leaches through and contaminates the watertable and could do great damage, you don't want that happening. So prior to setting this dump up, as I understand it, it would be located in an area known to contain geologic structures capable of containing solid waste